

## REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-18 were pending. Claims 1-3, 6, 9-54 are pending after this amendment. The examiner allowed claims 1-3, 6, 9-14 and 17-18 and rejected claims 15-16. Claims 15-16 have been canceled without prejudice to Applicant's right to re-file these claims in a divisional application.

Claims 1, 13, 14 have been amended deleting limitations consistent with the Statement of Reasons for Allowance. Claim 9 has been amended for clarity purposes. Claim 6 has been amended to delete "application server". Claim 10 has been amended to introduce "application server" which is no longer in claim 1.

New dependent claims 19-50 have been added. New dependent claims 19-37, 40-52 recite limitations deleted from independent claims 1, 13, and 14 which were previously allowed. The new claims are fully supported in the Specification.

It is also noted that in claim 1 the amendment "the using of the friendly or unfriendly outbound lists by the domain filtering engine involving checking user requested web resources against the friendly or unfriendly outbound lists" the term "web resources" has been provided as a general term for which non-limiting examples (of web resources) regarding domain filtering have been mentioned in the Specification, e.g. domains, URLs, addresses, links. See, e.g. Specification page 12 lines 2-4. See also FIG. 2 and FIG. 4D.

New dependent claims 38-39 and 53-54 recite that the "computer-readable medium is in a computer" or that the "computer-readable medium is in hardware", language that is fully supported throughout the Specification, for example at page 9, lines 20-21, wherein it states that "the present invention is a versatile customizable security

and filtering software 10 that can be installed on a computer” and wherein it states on page 11 lines 2-3 “The administrative module stores as encrypted files on hardware memory the configurations...”.


It is noted that in claims 13 and 14 and in dependent claims 43, 44, the phrase “the content” was changed to “the said content” in order to make doubly sure that it refers back to the mention of “a content”, which can be an entire content of the document or a portion of the content of the document. This is supported in the Specification, for example at page 4, line 17, page 13 line 6 and page 20 line 3.

It is respectfully requested that the above amendments be entered and that claims 1-3, 6, 9-14, 17-54, which are understood to be in condition for allowance, be allowed.

A payment of \$1508 accompanies this Amendment covering the RCE fee of \$405, the two month extension fee of \$245 and \$858 for new dependent claims 21-54.

Dated: March 15, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

  
Steven Horowitz, Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 31,768  
Customer No. 45096  
295 Madison Avenue, Suite 700  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 867-6800  
[sh@patentny.com](mailto:sh@patentny.com)